

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Smith
<b>Given Name</b>	Rebecca
<b>Person ID</b>	1287463
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Smith
<b>Given Name</b>	Rebecca
<b>Person ID</b>	1287463
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Smith
<b>Given Name</b>	Rebecca
<b>Person ID</b>	1287463
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Building on green belt when plenty of brownfield sites in Manchester are available. The plans take the easy and cheap option not the best option for the borough and environment.</p> <p>Destroying ancient woodland.</p> <p>Housing development is too large for the location. It will be about 7 times larger than Broadbottom. It's out of character and will significantly change the feel and culture of the area.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Provision of more trains to carry extra passengers on an already over capacity service.</p> <p>Provision of roads in a system that already can't cope.</p> <p>Provision of doctors, hospitals, policing, fire service.</p> <p>Impacts on flooding and drainage in a sewer system that is already not fit for purpose.</p> <p>People won't cycle due to dangerous roads and hills.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Smith
<b>Given Name</b>	Rebecca
<b>Person ID</b>	1287463
<b>Title</b>	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	<p>Tameside GBA37 Broadbottom Road, Broadbottom</p> <p>Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram</p>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Greenbelt additions are not sufficient to compensate for the green belt land you want to build on unnecessarily when there are brownfield sites available. There are more brownfield sites available since Covid due to changing working patterns. The plans need to be reworked with this in mind - reducing the destruction of greenbelt and ancient woodland.</p>